

A circular stamp from the Intellectual Property Office (IPO). The text "O I P E" is at the top, "I A P 97" is at the top right, "AUG 08 2007" is in the center, and "PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE" is at the bottom. The word "Examiner" is partially visible at the bottom right.

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION
IN AN APPLICATION**

[illegible]

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
						Yes	No

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
						Yes	No

[illegible]

	TAKADA et al., 98-CSEC-1-9, Concerning the System Log Collection Function Which Considers Inappropriate Access, Information Processing Academic Research Report, May 29, 1998, Vol. 98, No. 54, pp. 47-52.
	ASAKA et al., "Remote Attack Detection Method in IDA: MLSI-Based Intrusion Detection with Discriminant Analysis", Electronic Information Communication Academic Treatise Journal (J85-B), January 1, 2002, No. 1, pp. 60-74.
	ANDOU et al., "Increasing Security with Free Software", Nikkei Internet Technology, May 22, 1999, No. 23, pp. 125-143.
	TAGUCHI et al., 6G-4, Investigation of the Log Collection Function which Focused on Traces, 57 th (2 nd half of 1998), National Symposium Treatise Collection (3), October 7, 1998, pp. 8-514 to 8-518.

DATE CONSIDERED
10/06/2008

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.

* Abstract provided for the Examiner's convenience

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /CB/

Y&T May 27, 2005



NAKAE et al.
U. S. Application No. 10/643,864
Our Ref. 8046-1041

Reason A
Claims 1, 2, 6, 11, and 22-27

Remarks:

In Citation 1, for the purpose of invasion, reference is made to making a collection which includes a network connection accompanying process execution; file input/output, and events relating to process (reduction), including appearance and disappearance related (new process ID).

Claims 3, 4, 7-9, 12-14
Citations 1 and 2

Detecting invasion according to conformance with known invasive patterns is already known as recorded (in the left column of p. 61) in Citation 2.

Claims 5, 10 and 15
Citations 1-3

Remarks:

For example, establishing conditions relating to an access source relative to the file input/output process, is already known, as recorded (in the right column of p. 137) in Citation 3, and as a rule there is nothing exceptional recognized corresponding to the conditions and process relating to the access source.

Claims 28-39
Citations 1-4

Remarks:

As recorded in Citation 4, controlling access relative to an attack is already known.

Reason B
Claim 2
Application 5

Remarks:

There is no exceptional difference in comparison with the claim of [0025] of the following application 5.

Reference Citation List

1. Takada, Kan et al., 98-CSEC-1-9, Concerning the System Log Collection Function Which Considers Inappropriate Access; Information Processing Academic Research Report; May 29, 1998; Vol. 98 No. 54, pp. 47-52.
2. Asaka, Midori et al., Detection Results of a Remote Attack by Traces of Inappropriate Penetration; Electronic Information Communication Academic Treatise Journal (J85-B), January 1, 2002; No. 1, pp. 60-74.
3. Andou, I.; Increasing Security With Free Software; Nikkei Internet Technology; May 22, 1999; No. 23; pp. 125 to 143.
4. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 2002-152281
5. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 2002-56913
(Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 2003-258910)

Record of the Examination Results relating to Documents of the Prior Art

Examined Technical Field: IPC 7th Edition H04L12/00

Documents of the Prior Art:

6. Taguchi, Atsushi et al; 6G-4; Investigation of the Log Collection Function which Focused on Traces; 57th (2nd half of 1998); National Symposium Treatise Collection (3); October 7, 1998; p. 3-514 to 3-515.

7. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application 10-164064

8. International Unexamined Patent Application 01/084285

The record of the examination results relating to documents of the prior art does not constitute the grounds for rejection.